

TROWBRIDGE: PROPOSED WAITING RESTRICTIONS
COMMENTS OF OBJECTION AND SUPPORT

123 Comments received (68 objecting, 52 supporting and 3 commenting on)

Road	Objections	Support	Comments
Alder Close / Silver Birch Grove	1		
Bellefield Crescent		3	
Broadmead			1
Court Street	1		
Delamere Road	23		
Frome Road outside 'Frydays'	1		
Frome Road adjacent to Pitman Court		3	
Fulney Close	1	1	
Greenway Gardens		1	
Home Close	2		
Manley Close		1	
Melton Road	6		
Nightingale Road	1	1	
Polebarn Road/Ashton Street	1		
Rodwell Park	5	32	
Seymour Road	3		
Silver Street Lane			1
Staddlecote Place		2	
Swallow Drive		2	
Sycamore Grove	2		
Wiltshire Drive / Edington Drive		2	
Wingfield Road outside St John's School	19	2	
Wingfield Road opposite Avenue Road	2	2	
General Comments			1
	68	52	3

No comments were received for proposals in the following roads:

Balmoral Road, The Halve, Hawthorn Grove and Taylors View

Alder Close/Silver Birch Grove

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
AC 1	<p>Alder Close is a cul-de-sac in a very quiet neighbourhood. For 30 mins of the day around 3pm during term time (so a total of 90 ish hours a year) parking is challenging due to people picking up their children from the local primary school.</p> <p>This has been exacerbated by previous restrictions nearer the school, pushing the issue out, not stopping it. These proposals would just push the issue to yet another street.</p> <p>The people this affects, rather than those causing the issue, are those who actually live on these streets. There is not enough 'spare' parking for residents as it is. Alder Close has 5 spaces at the end of the road for on street parking which are fully utilised as many houses only have parking for 1 vehicle. I know that some residents have complained to you, however, they do not even drive and have parking for 3 cars on their driveway, so doesn't affect them.</p> <p>Proposals would push visitors onto Silver Street Lane which actually seems to me more dangerous than continuing to allow parking on our residential street. This policy really does feel like you are using a sledgehammer to crack a small nut.</p> <p>Based on existing parking restrictions in this area, it wouldn't been enforced either as even though restrictions are in place right outside the school, I see parents park there every day to pick their children up.</p> <p>Please do not penalise the residents for the sake of some inconsiderate parking from people that do not actually live here.</p>	1	<p>In response to the representation received, a further assessment has been undertaken and it is recommended that the extent of proposals be reduced to cover just the immediate area at the junction.</p> <p>See Appendix 3 for recommended amendments to the proposals.</p> <p>Parking Services have been informed of the concerns raised of lack of enforcement.</p>

Bellefield Crescent

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
BCS 1	<p>Support but would like more</p> <p>We welcome the current proposals but would like the proposal to go further. Vans from residents who do not live in the road frequently park and block views so our sight getting out of the driveway and on numerous occasions we have had near misses with cars or cyclists driving straight up Bellefield Crescent. Because our vision is blocked by the vehicle, we have to edge out very slowly to have any visibility. Unfortunately, it feels like an accident waiting to happen.</p> <p>The refuse lorries also have difficulty getting past and frequently drive over the green, making a deep indentation in the grass.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p> <p>When a Traffic Regulation is advertised for public comment, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations to alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (ie: further restrictions, longer hours) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions.</p>
BCS 2	<p>Supports but also requests more</p> <p>As a resident of Bellefield Crescent, I fully encourage the proposal, the congestion of parked cars in a nice, quiet residential area really spoils it for those who actually live here, rather than those who "dump" there cars and walk into town or walk to their houses which do not have parking.</p> <p>The 'green' is being ruined due to inconsiderate parking, forcing bin men, and residents onto the grass.</p> <p>We are concerned the small road behind the green isn't going to be yellow lined. It is incredibly difficult to park in on our driveway when cars are blocking access the road in front of our house is only wide enough for 1 car (we do have a passing place/lay-by also). Can we ask for 20metres extra of yellow lines in front of these properties? I think by adding yellow lines to other areas will just move the problem of congestion to another area.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p> <p>See BCS 1 above.</p> <p>We always expect there to be some displaced vehicles when any parking restrictions are introduced or amended, therefore we always monitor their effect after implementation.</p> <p>Should the issue continue then a further request can be made to Trowbridge Council for further formal restrictions.</p>

Bellefield Crescent cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
BCS 3	<p>Supports proposal and asks about enforcement</p> <p>Currently, occasional parking on the eastern side of Bellefield Crescent between St Thomas Road and the cycleway/footpath to Hilperton Road results both in hindering the residents of Bellefield Crescent parking outside their own houses and occasionally in serious blocking of the Crescent altogether.</p> <p>My only observation is that with the current lack of parking restriction enforcement, it has become commonplace for vehicles to park where there are existing restrictions, such as at the junction of Bellefield Crescent and St Thomas Road, where it is dangerous to park anyway as it forces traffic onto the wrong side of the road at the junction and impedes sightlines for drivers. That being the case, I wonder if the proposed new restrictions will be effective?</p>	1	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p> <p>Parking Services have been informed of the concerns raised of lack of enforcement.</p>

Broadmead

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
BMC1	<p>Support with comments</p> <p>My concern is that a lot of the vehicles that park along the bottom of Broadmead are residents of Cockhill or people leaving their cars close to the bus stop to travel to Bath so if the double lines are extended those vehicles will simply park further along and on the bend in the road close to Brook Road.</p> <p>When this happens, as it already often does, you cannot see anything else coming the other way when driving into or out of Broadmead. Vehicles coming out of Broadmead will be in the middle of the road because of the parked cars so this small section of road becomes very dangerous. Crossing the road using the designated lowered section of pavement is also risky as it's hard to see what's coming until you've stepped out.</p> <p>I would suggest that double yellow lines are added from the junction with Brook Road to the straight part of the road (preferably on both sides) rather than extended as currently planned.</p> <p>Also, I do think traffic calming measures need to be introduced, the problem with the corner is the speed of vehicles particularly coming down and taking the corner far too wide so they're in the middle of the road or even on the wrong side. I work at home with my desk overlooking that corner, so I see and hear the problem every day. Perhaps a 20-mph zone might help?</p>	1	<p>When a Traffic Regulation is advertised for public comment, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations to alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (ie: further restrictions, longer hours) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions.</p> <p>We always expect there to be some displaced vehicles when any parking restrictions are introduced or amended, therefore we always monitor their effect after implementation.</p> <p>Further to the comment regarding the introduction of a 20mph speed limit, I can confirm that following recent speed assessments, the Trowbridge Local Highway and Footway Improvement Group has funded a consultation for a 20mph speed limit, which will shortly be advertised. The roads within the Broadmead estate and in the St Thomas' area of Trowbridge will be included.</p>

Court Street

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
CS 1	<p>There is a vehicle access which is proposed to be blocked off with permit parking.</p> <p>How are we meant to be able to get in and out with a vehicle if this happens? I wanted the double yellow lines put back.</p> <p>I require 24hrs a day access for the green Garage doors and the pedestrian Access in the single door.</p> <p>At the moment we have 1 hr parking there, so if we need access sometimes we may have to wait an hr but if you make this permit parking people can park there all day and maybe away on holiday for example and block our access for weeks at a time.</p> <p>I would like to see the parking restriction that use to be there it was there in the late 80s and 90s.</p>	1	<p>Site observations indicate that this access is actually rarely used, the entrance is overgrown with weeds etc.</p> <p>It is therefore recommended that a parking permit is obtained, allowing the business to have the same rights as the residents to park within the proposed bay.</p> <p>Despite no formal supporting comments received during the consultation, full support following a residents' petition was sent in via the Local Member, in favour of such restrictions.</p>

Delamere Road

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
DR 1	<p>Currently parking slows passing traffic, removing parking would increase speed and remove parking for visitors</p> <p>The current arrangement obliges motorists to slow down and to stop and pull in to allow oncoming traffic to pass. If there are no cars parked, there are motorists who would simply increase their speed including vans and even lorries, which may use the road as a "rat run".</p> <p>With an infant/junior school and play park nearby children often walk or cycle along Delamere Road.</p> <p>There is also the fact that car drivers do need to park somewhere, often for short periods to visit friends and family.</p>	2	<p>The proposals were developed further to requests from resident to restrict parking at and opposite junctions leading off Delamere Road.</p> <p>However, further to representation received objecting to the proposals and after further consideration, it is recommended that these proposals for Delamere Road be removed. See Appendix 3 for confirmation of the proposals to be removed.</p>
DR 2	<p>What alternative parking arrangement will there be for residents and their visitors, including carers?</p> <p>The current parking acts as a traffic calming measure, without these there is a huge risk of a higher traffic volume at high speed. The fact that currently cars are constantly parked on Delamere Road shows in itself that parking spaces are required.</p> <p>Islington has a number of houses that does not have parking and it would not be safe to park on the road Islington itself. Where are these residents expected to park? Also, some carers visit residents 3 times a day You are cutting off visitors to some of the most vulnerable in society.</p>	1	See comment DR 1 above.

Delamere Road cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
DR 3	<p>Removing the parking will create more problems than it will solve.</p> <p>Parking on Delamere Road has also created natural traffic calming. Remove parking and you have a lovely stretch of road to speed along.</p> <p>There are also lots of elderly people living in the bungalows along Delamere Road and by day, numerous care agencies/family members/park on the street. Although they have driveways, most have single driveways.</p> <p>Wiltshire Council urgently needs to provide extra parking in the Islington/The Down area of Trowbridge, not take it away! Restricting parking in Delamere Road will create way more problems than it will solve. Parking problems already cause dispute and bad feeling in this area.</p>	1	See comment DR 1 above.
DR 4	<p>Proposals will shift parking to other nearby roads</p> <p>Downhayes Road is already bad enough for people who live here as there is always other people using this road to park who live roads away. Imagine the frustration when coming home from a hard day's work and there's a non-resident parked outside your bought and paid for home, then you drive away and have to park a road or three away.</p> <p>I appreciate it's hard, but this will cause problems and even more stress for all who live in Downhayes Road.</p>	1	See comment DR 1 above.
DR 5	<p>Objecting to the proposed plans.</p> <p>This will create parking issues for our residence and overflow of additional parking causing distress.</p>	2	See comment DR 1 above.

Delamere Road cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
DR 6	<p>It appears only residents of Delamere Road have been informed of the proposals and that other residents in the surrounding neighbourhood have had to rely on the Wiltshire Times for notification.</p> <p>Enforcing parking restrictions along Delamere Road will, I believe, not only have an adverse effect on the residents of Delamere Road but also to those residents that have no or little parking available to them. Delamere Road is not only used by its residents and their visitors/tradesmen as well as the residents of surrounding streets but also used by the congregation of the Jehovah Witness church at least 4 times a week.</p> <p>Adding further restrictions will only push the issue to roads further afield and at the same time cause a great deal of bad-feeling between residents as car parking spaces become a premium and no doubt more illegal parking will become common place.</p>	1	<p>See comment DR 1 above.</p> <p>With regards to informing residents and others of proposals, Wiltshire Council does ensure that all processes regarding Traffic Regulation Orders are carried out following the statutory regulations as set out in legislation under the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984.</p> <p>We are required, when proposing a Traffic Regulation Order, to consult with statutory consultees (Town/Parish Councils, Councillors etc) and inform members of the public, allowing for comments, for a minimum period of 21 days.</p> <p>In terms of consulting with the public we publish a public notice within a newspaper circulating within the area (specified in the Regulations), we ensure maximum circulation of this by using the figures of highest selling publication within the area to which the TRO relates.</p> <p>Whilst we do not have a statutory obligation to post notice on site, we do this as standard practice as we recognise that not everyone reads the local newspaper, and it has proven to be very effective in reaching as wide an audience as possible.</p>

Delamere Road cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
DR 7	<p>Residents of Downhayes Road already have difficulty parking in their own road due to overspill from other areas</p> <p>Delamere Road is used by people to park who live on Islington where there are some multi-occupancy dwellings; it is also regularly used for parking by people attending meetings at the Jehovah Witness' meeting hall on Sundays and also during the week.</p> <p>Delamere Road is regularly used by traffic as a 'cut through', with on street parking being in place it automatically slows traffic down, if this proposal is put in place traffic will not be restricted, resulting in more traffic travelling at faster speeds. I do not understand the thinking behind this proposal, as it will cause numerous problems with parking, and lead to even more illegal parking in the area which is already struggling with this problem and consequently object to this proposal.</p>	5	See comment DR 1 above.
DR 8	<p>If double yellow lines are put in place, it will make the road, which is already a rat run and cut through, even more busy and those cars with have nothing to slow them down. Cars already drive at speed when they leave St Thomas Road- currently they have no choice but to slow down as there are cars parked on Delamere Road, in affect these parked cars act as traffic calming.</p> <p>As a resident of Downhayes Road, it is already difficult to park in my home road. Parking restrictions in Delamere Road will push drivers to look elsewhere to park. They will look at surrounding roads Downhayes Road being the closest alternative. I do not want people to park in Downhayes Road as it will mean I will be unable to park near to my home.</p> <p>Finally, I would like to ask: how this proposal has come about? why has it been proposed? what are the expected benefits of the proposal - who is expected to benefit? Also, we only heard about this just 3 days before the deadline? Is this lawful?</p>	2	See comment DR 1 and DR 6 above.

Delamere Road cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
DR 9	<p>As a resident of Islington with no parking, but just one car, we and others often use Delamere Road for parking. If the parking is to be removed, we will be left with nowhere to park, since there is already a very limited number of spaces in the vicinity.</p> <p>Another concern is that if parking is restricted on Delamere Road, this will lead to a greater volume of traffic and more speeding on the road. Residents in the area, including us, would like the road to remain quiet and safe for families and the elderly.</p>	2	See comment DR 1 and DR 6 above.
DR 10	<p>I have been a resident since 2006 and parking in the area has never been a major problem. The proposed restrictions would affect 18+ households in the Islington area. There is no proposed provision for alternative parking in the area which will have an effect on property prices.</p> <p>All the bungalows on Delamere Road have private driveways and are set well back from the road so cannot complain about parking (after all they bought the properties with street parking...it did not magically appear overnight)</p> <p>The flow of traffic is acceptable, but a 20 mph should be considered. Carers and Health visitors would also be severely affected.</p> <p>I am an OAP with health problems and would find it difficult walking a long distance from car to home. There is also the problem of the safety of my vehicle parked a long distance away. There are 4 older vehicles parked in the road and have not moved for approximately 6 months. Why does no one complain about them and more importantly who do they belong to?</p> <p>Trowbridge is rapidly expanding but the Council do not seem to consider parking for the existing long standing Council Tax paying residents.</p>	1	<p>See comment DR 1 above.</p> <p>Further to the comment regarding the introduction of a 20mph speed limit, I can confirm that following recent speed assessments, the Trowbridge Local Highway and Footway Improvement Group has funded a consultation for a 20mph speed limit, which will shortly be advertised. The roads within the Broadmead estate and in the St Thomas' area of Trowbridge will be included.</p>

Delamere Road cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
DR 11	<p>This proposal of extended yellow lines will lead to chaos. Many residents on Islington have no option but to park on Delamare Rd.</p> <p>At present people park considerably on the whole. If there were any improvements to the current situation, I would propose yellow lines on Keate's close side of the road and fine the gentleman at the bottom of Delamare for consistently and illegally placing traffic cones outside his house.</p>	1	<p>See comment DR 1 above.</p> <p>Regarding the neighbour putting cones out, this will be investigated by Highway Enforcement officers.</p>
DR 12	<p>There is no on road parking on Islington. At the moment Islington dwellers who have utilised their gardens to provide parking for themselves move their cars the short distance to Delamere Road to facilitate deliveries and large-scale house repair vehicles. If the Delamere parking option is unavailable more road blockages will occur on Islington.</p> <p>Delamere Road is an attractive area (consisting as it does mainly of bungalows) for the older generation. It goes without saying that these people are most in need of home deliveries, daily care and medical visits, not to mention family support. It appears a particularly ungenerous proposal to give this age demographic yet another set of worries.</p> <p>Reducing parking on Delamere Road surely just moves the parking issue elsewhere, Lowmead and St. Thomas Road for example.</p> <p>There has been a very short time span to respond to this proposal. For those of us who do not read The Wiltshire Times, there appeared to be no physical notification. For example, notices on lampposts, or in the local Post Office, in the areas most likely to object.</p>	2	<p>See comment DR 1 and DR 6 above.</p>

Delamere Road cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
DR 13	<p>I object to the proposed parking restrictions, as a resident of the street the parking has never been a problem if anything it has led to the reduced speeds of cars using the road, thus being a natural speed deterrent, if you put down these proposed restrictions it will cause danger to local residents.</p> <p>I would rather see the money spent on the increasing amount of potholes that are appearing on the streets of Trowbridge, leading to hazards to all road users.</p>	1	See comment DR 1 above.
DR 14	<p>The proposal seeks to remove safe parking for 17 cars on Delamere Road. The cars parked form a traffic calming function in an area with a high footfall including school children. Removing the parking will only push it onto nearby roads which are already at capacity.</p> <p>The residents need parking for visitors - many of the bungalows along Delamere Road have regular visits from Care Workers.</p> <p>This parking has been working fine for at least 30 years, so surely now is not the time to break it?</p>	1	See comment DR 1 above.

Frome Road – outside Frydays Fish & Chip shop

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
FR 1	<p>Current restrictions are not enforced, a residents' parking scheme is needed</p> <p>Might I suggest that if you actually policed the current restriction so that people actually moved their car (as I do) you'd find people wouldn't be parked there when loading needs to occur? There are cars parked there the majority of the day every single week, the same ones day in, day out, and in my 8 years living here I've only seen 2 parking tickets there.</p> <p>Removing the ability to park there overnight won't solve the problem, only add to it, and to be honest - if you don't police it in the first place, who's going to pay any attention anyway? Bring us a residents' scheme and watch the parking/loading problems vanish overnight, plenty of people in our road, and the surrounding area, have parking they just don't bother to use.</p>	1	<p>The original request came from the Frydays who were having difficulties unloading goods to the business. The proposals were designed to create a loading area and remove the time restrictions for residents as elsewhere on this stretch of Frome Road.</p> <p>For resident's parking scheme to be considered, a majority support from residents would need to be indicated before any proposals are developed.</p>

Frome Road – adjacent to Pitman Court

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
FRS 1	<p>Proposals will cause a knock-on effect, could more restrictions be added?</p> <p>As a resident of The Moldens I worry that the loss of the proposed parking space will force more cars/work vans into the cul-de-sac. As you will be aware the bottom section of The Moldens has double yellow lines. However, this is largely ignored especially at weekends and evenings (mainly by work vans).</p> <p>As you progress further into the Moldens there is a sharp left-hand bend where on a quite regular basis vans park hindering vision for traffic in both directions. My worry is that more vehicles will be forced to park further into the cul-de-sac making it not only dangerous for young children playing but also making it nigh impossible for residents to enter or leave their premises.</p>	1	<p>The proposals opposite The Moldens were primarily to assist visibility for properties on Frome Road, specifically Pitman Court.</p> <p>When a Traffic Regulation is advertised for public comment, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations to alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (ie: further restrictions, longer hours) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions.</p> <p>Parking Services have been informed of the concerns raised of lack of enforcement.</p>
FRS 2	<p>I am a frequent visitor to Pitman Court to visit a family member and the visibility when trying to get out onto Frome Road is virtually nil. There are generally two vans parked half on/half off the pavement totally blocking the view. We've had some near misses when trying to pull out.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support are noted. These proposals are as a result of such reports and requests.</p>
FRS 3	<p>I live at Pitman Court Trowbridge and want to notify you of my support for the proposal to put no waiting on Frome Road outside the entrance to pitman court. The traffic parks both sides of the entrance making it very difficult to get out of Pitman Court. Over the last month there have been several near misses and its only a matter of a time before an accident happens.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p> <p>See FRS 1 & FRS 2 above.</p>

Fulney Close

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
FC 1	<p>If the reason for the change to a 'no waiting zone' at the junction of Fulney Close and Victoria Road is due to a risk of accident, I am surprised that there is nothing similar proposed for the Ragleth Grove and Albany Close junctions. These are on tighter bends with less visibility.</p> <p>Having lived in Fulney Close for many years, I have not encountered any issues with cars temporarily stopping to pick up or drop off passengers in Victoria Road near the junction of Fulney Close. This seems to be a pointless proposal to change the junction to a 'no waiting zone'.</p> <p>If this is approved, can a change to the grass verge opposite Fulney Close be made, so that a lay-by is provided?</p>	1	<p>The reason for the proposal at Fulney Close is that dropped kerbs are being installed at this location and therefore the proposed restrictions will prevent them from being obstructed.</p> <p>The dropped kerbs were requested by the Local Councillor and were supported by Trowbridge Town Council.</p> <p>The verge on the inside of the bend is required to be kept clear for forward visibility for vehicles travelling on Victoria Road.</p>
FCS 1	<p>Support with comments</p> <p>Whilst I have no objections to your proposal it does appear to be a complete waste of money as I have never seen any vehicles stop or park in the area you propose to cover with this restriction.</p> <p>However, you may wish to consider looking at the hammer head turning area at the end of Fulney Close where vehicles are frequently parked thus preventing vehicles turning around. There are many delivery vehicles daily needing to turn here. Making a parking restriction in this position would be a far better use of funds.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support noted.</p> <p>See FC 1 above regarding dropped kerbs.</p> <p>When a Traffic Regulation is advertised for public comment, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations to alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (ie: further restrictions, longer hours) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions.</p>

Greenway Gardens

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
GGS 1	<p>Support with comments</p> <p>The proposal shows no restriction opposite the junction of Greenway Gardens. I believe that vehicles leaving Greenway Gardens and turning right should have a safe space to occupy on the correct side of the road free of vehicles.</p> <p>My reason is that the view to the right is to a bend in the road and vehicles approaching from the Down are not visible. On several occasions I have had to leave Greenway Gardens potentially in head on conflict with approaching vehicles when cars are parked opposite the junction.</p> <p>My fear is that if there is no restriction here the problem will become worse as anyone who might have parked in the proposed area may feel able to park opposite Greenway Gardens as this is unrestricted. I note both Victoria Road and Withy Close have double yellow lines opposite, neither is near a bend in the road.</p>	1	<p>The reason for the proposal at Greenway Gardens is that dropped kerbs are being installed at this location and therefore the proposed restrictions will prevent them from being obstructed and extended to protect visibility for vehicles exiting Greenway Gardens and prevent obstruction to the bus stop.</p> <p>The dropped kerbs were requested by the Local Councillor and were supported by Trowbridge Town Council.</p> <p>Unfortunately, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations to alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (ie: further restrictions, longer hours) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions.</p> <p>We always expect there to be some displaced vehicles when any parking restrictions are introduced or amended; therefore, we always monitor their effect after implementation.</p>

Home Close

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
HC 1	<p>Good idea but proposals do not tackle main problem</p> <p>The said proposal only covers the curb opposite the residents of Homes Close which will not make any difference.</p> <p>You are missing the problem of parking in the turning circle at the end of Home Close and also missing the lines between residents' drop curbs.</p> <p>A particular resident doesn't let anyone park outside his home by taking 3 spaces to park their 2 cars resulting in visitors blocking other driveways.</p> <p>Why don't you do the correct thing put the lines in the correct place and get him to park correctly in the street he has NO Driveway and I have paid good money to lose my garden and put in a driveway, why for other drivers to still park in front of my driveway.</p>	1	<p>Proposals were developed in response to requests to prevent obstruction caused when on occasion vehicles park opposite the parking area.</p> <p>Further to representation received and a review to the proposals, the proposals opposite the layby area are recommended to be withdrawn.</p> <p>See Appendix 3 for confirmation of the proposals to be removed.</p> <p>White advisory markings can be placed across the dropped kerb driveways to indicate the driveways and if obstruction persists, then Wiltshire Police can under their powers deal with obstruction offences.</p> <p>Should the issue continue then a further request can be made to Trowbridge Council for formal restrictions as, we cannot at this stage alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (ie: further restrictions, longer hours) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions.</p>

Home Close cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
HC 2	<p>Residents of the bungalows that back on to Home Close, object to yellow lines being put down their side of the road as there is no need nobody parks on that side of the road.</p> <p>Everyone has always parked herringbone fashion in the parking bay there is enough room for everyone to park, still leaves enough room for emergency vehicles and refuse lorries to safely go up the road, we think yellow lines are a waste of money and would not serve any purpose.</p>	1	See comment HC 1 above.

Manley Close

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
MCS 1	<p>I see planning is going through for extending the yellow lines in Manley Close, this I am happy with and looking forward to it being done.</p> <p>However, when I first enquired about having the yellow lines extended, I was hoping for them to come up on to the bend as people park on the band which makes it dangerous.</p> <p>Can this be looked into and added?</p>	1	<p>Unfortunately, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations to alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (ie: further restrictions, longer hours) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions.</p> <p>We always expect there to be some displaced vehicles when any parking restrictions are introduced or amended, therefore we always monitor their effect after implementation.</p>

Melton Road

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
MR 1	<p>The proposed parking restrictions will undoubtedly present problems between residents of the surrounding area who already struggle to park their cars and Trowbridge Health Centre staff who are not allowed to park on site due to NHS restrictions and policies.</p> <p>This will cause even more friction between the local residents who will no longer have a secure space to park and furthermore cause huge amount of stress within the Trowbridge health centre team who already struggle with on street parking and often face verbal abuse (and vandalism to vehicles) from locals.</p> <p>As you are aware the NHS is under enormous pressure to treat and meet patient targets and implementing parking restrictions will be detrimental to the day to day running of the health centre as staff will not be able to park and carry out their duties resulting in patients not receiving the treatment needed.</p> <p>The proposed parking restrictions will not only have an adverse effect on local residents, health centre staff but the wider community of Trowbridge and surrounding areas.</p>	2	<p>The proposals were developed after residents raised concern that the all-day parking by Health Centre staff were restricting their and their visitors ability to park near to their home during the day. Parking opposite Charles Street and Queens Street was causing visibility issues for vehicles exiting those junctions also.</p> <p>Further to representation received and a review to the proposals, the proposed double yellow lines are recommended to proceed as advertised at the Melton Road/Charles Street/Queens Road junction to protect visibility. It is also recommended to remove the proposals for daytime parking bays and reduce the extent of the proposed double yellow lines further north at the Melton Road/Sanders Road junction as site observations have confirmed that minimal parking takes place evenings and weekends.</p> <p>See Appendix 3 for confirmation of the proposed amendments.</p> <p>The matter of staff parking provisions will be raised with Planning Enforcement colleagues.</p>

Melton Road cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
MR 2	<p>The proposed parking restrictions will undoubtedly present problems between residents of the surrounding area who already struggle to park their cars and Trowbridge Health Centre staff who are not allowed to park on site due to NHS rules.</p> <p>Further cause huge amount of stress within the Trowbridge Health Centre team who already struggle with on street parking and often face verbal abuse (and vandalism to vehicles) from locals.</p> <p>Implementing further parking restrictions will be detrimental to the day to day running of the Health Centre as staff will not be able to park and carry out their duties resulting in patients not receiving the treatment they demand.</p>	1	See comment MR 1 above.
MR 3	<p>Proposals will have a knock-on affect</p> <p>The proposal will adversely affect the availability of parking spaces at the bottom of Melton Road.</p> <p>According to the map, there will be a lack of parking spaces available for these residents. Consequently, this increases the chances of the residents using the spaces at the bottom of Melton Road. The number of parking spaces here are already insufficient, as the spaces are almost always used up, and this proposal will only further exacerbate the problem.</p>	1	See comment MR 1 above.

Melton Road cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
MR 4	<p>In Melton Road, the only time that there is any notable problem with parking congestion would appear to be during the working hours of the Trowbridge Medical Centre and associated Pharmacy.</p> <p>In this context, I would like to ask whether proper arrangements were made when the Medical Centre was built to accommodate parking needs for the staff or whether any provisions for staff parking were properly met when the building was constructed?</p> <p>The solution would be to improve the parking arrangements for the staff working at the Medical Centre, rather than to affect residents.</p> <p>Why cannot residents parking be introduced here as in other areas of Trowbridge?</p> <p>If I am unable to park outside my home in Melton Road and many of the nearby roads similarly restricted where I am supposed to park? I can only assume that I will have to search for unrestricted roads in residential areas as near as possible to my home, along with other residents similarly affected. The net effect of this would be simply to shift the alleged congestion issue to other areas.</p>	1	<p>See comment MR 1 above.</p> <p>For resident's parking scheme to be considered a majority support from residents would need to be indicated before any proposals are developed.</p>

Melton Road cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
MR 5	<p>We're long-term residents 26 years and very upset that you are proposing to stop us from parking outside our home. We have 4 cars here and they don't always move during the day as we try to walk/cycle/car share to work where possible. We have made spaces on the front garden but due to having a streetlight we cannot increase this.</p> <p>During the day I am aware that the parking in this area is bad due to the Hospital and Health Centre staff and patients and have even been blocked on our drive. Yet this has been brought on by yourselves when you build a Health Centre, but provide no staff parking, not our fault but you are asking us to suffer for it. There's a large, grassed area that was bought from the Cricket Club and could be used for parking, but it is left overgrown.</p> <p>I have health issues that restrict how far I can walk/carry things therefore I need to park as close to home as possible. This is probably true for many elderly residents too.</p> <p>On another note how will this affect our car insurance? Who will pay for any damage if we are being forced to park elsewhere and also this will mean changing insurance policies again who will pay for this as its not our choice.</p> <p>I am also concerned this may devalue the house prices in the area, I am seeking legal advice with this as people may not want to purchase a property in an area where there is a parking restriction so we could be stuck here in future. Will parking permits be available to residents for parking within the bays close?</p> <p>I could understand making it a permit only area and only giving all residents permits to allow them to park but all your proposal is going to do is upset the residents in the restriction area and then push the public further into the estate and causing upset there.</p>	1	<p>See comment MR 1 above.</p> <p>The proposals were designed to prevent parking on Melton Road at and between Charles Street and Queens Street junctions. Parking too close to these junctions, were causing visibility issues for vehicles exiting those roads. Requests were also received by residents struggling to park during the day due all-day parking by staff from the Health Centre.</p> <p>In response to the representation received, a further assessment has been undertaken and it is recommended that the extent of proposals be reduced slightly to allow for some on-street parking where it does not cause obstruction.</p> <p>See Appendix 3 for recommended amendments to the proposals.</p> <p>With regard to comments relating to the land adjacent to the Health Centre, Wiltshire Council has no influence over the use of private land.</p>

Nightingale Road

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
NR 1	<p>I'd like to raise my concerns and objections regarding the increased level of parking restrictions proposed to the Nightingale Road area.</p> <p>As a parent to students attending both St John's RC Primary School and St Augustine's RC Secondary School, I am required to have to travel further in order for my children to attend a School of their faith. There are no alternative options, and the route is not easily supported by public transport that would be practical and within suitable journey times and would affect employment hours.</p> <p>This will be the same for a large majority of the students. As such, I don't consider your proposals will be effective in stopping that, merely moving the problem further into the local housing estates.</p> <p>As such, just will cause a slightly different problem and is unfair towards a cohort of parents who have to travel further distances to support their faith-based education and will continue to have to park in that area.</p>	1	<p>Parking currently takes places on the nearside of the carriageway on entering from Wingfield Road, this will remain.</p> <p>Proposals were developed to prevent vehicles blocking visibility on the inside of the bend and not to place restrictions on parents specifically.</p> <p>As restrictions are also proposed in Swallow Drive, the need to protect areas adjacent, ie; Nightingale Road, were considered appropriate to prevent displaced vehicles parking in inappropriate places.</p>
NRS 1	<p>Support with comments</p> <p>Whilst supporting the proposal for a "No Waiting At Any Time " we would like to suggest that this will only push the problem of too many cars parked in Nightingale Road down the road towards the "Hammer Head" at the end of the road that will impede access for emergency vehicles.</p> <p>Much better to stop all parking of non-residents.</p> <p>We have a suggestion though: Why not build a car parking area at the rear of St Johns School, with access from Brook Rd? This would allow parents to drop off their children safely.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support noted.</p> <p>We always expect there to be some displaced vehicles when any parking restrictions are introduced or amended, therefore we always monitor their effect after implementation.</p> <p>With regard to the question of building a car parking area at the school, unfortunately we have no influence over private land use.</p>

Polebarn Road

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
PR 1	<p>I write to express my frustration at the proposed changes to parking in Trowbridge.</p> <p>Living close to the Lovemead Car Park, existing and proposed resident only parking, but I am afforded no discount for the car park, nor am I eligible for a resident permit.</p> <p>By continuing to restrict the limited available parking to resident permits only without allowing the surrounding residents to purchase the aforementioned residents permit, you will be forcing me and my partner to pay for a season parking permit at an astronomical £124 per month! That's more than my energy bills at present!</p> <p>I can understand having to charge those visiting Trowbridge to pay for parking, but I need to drive for work, and these changes are going to end up pricing me out of the area.</p> <p>A more widely available resident parking scheme, either by way of permits, or a discounted rate in the local car parks (as was previously available!) needs to be introduced.</p> <p>I'm certain the council would rather have more utilisation in their car parks around the clock, rather than pricing residents out of the option, and the car parks remaining empty.</p>	1	<p>The proposal retains existing 'free for all' limited parking at the north end of the road, allowing residents of other areas and visitors to park.</p> <p>The Off-Street Resident Permits were a historical arrangement from the previous district Councils and were phased out as the service was not offered across the whole of Wiltshire.</p> <p>The Season Ticket price is heavily discounted against paying daily to park in the car park. The season ticket for the Lovemead car park is £62.00 per month. The all-day pay & display charge is £6.60.</p> <p>Take June as an example there are 26 days that the £6.60 charge would apply, this would total £171.60 if paying to park daily. There are 4 Sundays in June which total £4.00 if paying to park. That is a total £175.60 for a month's parking which is £113.60 more than the cost of the monthly season ticket.</p> <p>Despite no formal supporting comments received during the consultation, full support following a residents' petition was sent in via the Local Member, in favour of such restrictions.</p>

Rodwell Park

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
RP 1	<p>The proposal is unnecessary.</p> <p>20m of no waiting at any time is excessive and unnecessary, I do not want to set a precedent in the road and Rodwell Park is generally not that busy with parked cars to warrant this proposal.</p>	1	<p>The proposals were developed in response to requests to prevent obstruction caused to the electricity sub-station access required 24/7, and reports of driveway being blocked also.</p> <p>We have sought and received confirmation from Scottish and Southern Electricity Networks that this is still the case.</p>
RP 2	<p>With reference to yellow lines at Rodwell Park, as a resident of 20 years I feel that yellow lines are totally unnecessary.</p> <p>If this is the case, then will yellow lines be put in front of all properties in this area?</p>	2	<p>See RP 1 above.</p> <p>The proposal will restrict parking in this layby area only, the rest of the road will remain unrestricted, allowing visitors and others to park as they do now.</p>
RP 3	<p>Rodwell Park and Cleveland Gardens have no flow through traffic. The are a cul-de-sac and u-road that cannot lead to anywhere else.</p> <p>My family member lives close to the proposal and has done peacefully for 40+ years. I am a regular visitor and can always park on their drive/across it.</p> <p>I must highlight the dispute over this parking area, including one very abusive and threatening attack on my family member, which caused obvious distress. This is a peaceful prime backwater of Trowbridge; a mature estate with large plots; many elderly residents have been living there for tens of years and never has there an issue with off road parking.</p> <p>There are no other yellow lines in this area. The area is mainly laid with deep lawn frontages with no hedging or fencing. Any sight of yellow lines would be unsightly, out of keeping and a waste of taxpayers' money and time.</p>	1	<p>See RP 1 above.</p> <p>It is regrettable that there have been instances in the past between the residents, yet this is something for the Police to deal with under their powers.</p> <p>As you have mentioned, properties on both Rodwell Park and Cleveland Gardens enjoy deep frontages and drives so the proposal to protect the access to the sub-station will have no effect on adjacent residents' ability to park.</p>

Rodwell Park cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
RP 4	<p>This estate was built early sixties, I moved here 1975.</p> <p>The lay-by was built for casual visitors such as, Doctor, nurse, health visitor, carer. It is still needed for that purpose.</p> <p>Yellow lines are NOT required, restrictions should not be applied, as these medical people should still have the freedom of parking in that allotted space.</p> <p>There have been four previous owners at 58 Rodwell Park, there have not been any issues with them with parking.</p> <p>The current owners moved into 58 in 2020. They had a dropped kerb built August 2020, it goes well beyond their boundary into Cleveland Gardens.</p>	1	See RP 1 above.
RPS 1	<p>Support with comments</p> <p>Not only do we support the installation of yellow lines as a means to protect the 24-hour access to the substation, but the impact of persistent parking in this area also affects our private access on and off our driveway.</p> <p>The road feature in question is not a layby, it is nothing more than the remains of an original road layout when the houses in this street were first built 60 years ago and is clearly no longer fit for purpose. This ambiguous road feature has caused us as a family endless anxiety and stress for 3 years and will continue to do so unless this situation is addressed.</p> <p>We have been left to regularly confront those that choose to ignore the signs provided by SSE and park in this area. The situation as it stands is fundamentally unsustainable to us and the installation of yellow lines would eradicate the ambiguity of this road feature.</p>	1	Comments of support are noted. Right of access for the sub-station and access to a residential property are the reasons for this proposal.

Rodwell Park cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
RPS 2	The proposal will be beneficial to SSEN's access to the nearby substation, required 24/7.	1	Comments of support are noted.
RPS 3	I support the yellow lines outside no.58 Rodwell Park as SSE require 24hr protected access and the family require access to their property. I have witnessed parking in this area preventing access to this property.	4	Comments of support are noted.
RPS 4	We support the yellow lines on Rodwell Park as will allow the family to get on and off their drive and will prevent obstruction to the SEE substation which serves the whole community.	7	Comments of support are noted.
RPS 5	I am in support of the proposed waiting restrictions planned for the Cleveland Gardens / Rodwell Park area to allow access to the property's access and electricity substation.	12	Comments of support are noted.
RPS 6	I write in support of the yellow lines in Rodwell Park to allow residents 24hr access and to the substation not only for general maintenance but also in case of an emergency.	7	Comments of support are noted.
RPS 7	We support the double yellow lines in Cleveland Gardens/Rodwell Park. We feel that this will come as a relief for many. Numerous cars regularly park across the access to the lane and also the access for SSE to repair their equipment at the sub-station. It also causes difficulties for a few residents reversing out their drive. There is plenty of driveway space for people to use there drives so the yellow lines will be supported by many.	1	Comments of support are noted.

Seymour Road

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
SR 1	<p>What alternative parking will there be for residents?</p> <p>I am deeply concerned about the double yellow lines going outside my house. I am a young mother of 3 young children who works full time to support my family. I park outside my house because it's not only convenient but it's also safer when getting my children in/out the car.</p> <p>We already have issues with the health centre staff parking down this road but they are kind enough to leave me a space outside my house because they know I have to take my children to childcare every day.</p> <p>As you are aware this is a main road, the reason for my concern on not having parking directly outside my house stems from the fact people drive like lunatics down it. I find great comfort in the fact my car is there as we also have cctv 24/7 monitoring my vehicle. You've probably already sussed by this email that I suffer with severe anxiety. I just can't bear the thought of having to walk my children down a very busy main road, on my own to get them to my car safely.</p> <p>The staff from the health centre park all down Seymour Road, Melton Road and Queen's Road so I will literally have nowhere to park at all unless it's a mile down the road which with 3 children is going to be very difficult given my mental health and anxiety.</p> <p>Our drive isn't big enough for me to park my car on nor do I have the money to even put in an application & pay for a drop curb. I feel like I've been backed into a corner by my local Councillor who hasn't really given me an option to appeal this as he never said anything when he come to my house yesterday. We've never had anyone tell us we can't park outside our home before now. We pay our council tax every month, we never complain about anything, we just want to live an easy life with no problems and provide a safe life for our children.</p>	1	<p>Wiltshire Council has no duty to provide parking for individuals; its statutory duty is to maintain the right of passage along the highway.</p> <p>The proposals were intended to protect the around surrounding the junction with Hammersmith Fields (Trowbridge Health Centre access), required for clear visibility and access.</p> <p>Further to representation received and a review to the proposals, the proposed double yellow lines are recommended to be reduced to single yellow daytime restrictions 8am to 6pm Mon to Fri, when access to the Health Centre is required.</p> <p>This will enable residents to park overnight and at weekends when traffic flow along Seymour Road is reduced.</p>

Seymour Road cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
SR 2	<p>I disagree with yellow lines on the Canal Road end of Seymour Road. Living here I regularly see cars speeding along this stretch of road and crossing the roundabout at a dangerous speed. Cars parked on the road are the only thing that slow them down.</p> <p>Crossing the road at the junction is already dangerous and I suspect the lack of parked cars will speed traffic up even more. If yellow lines are to be placed, I believe traffic calming measures will also be needed.</p>	1	<p>These proposals followed requests for better visibility when exiting Parklands. In response to the representation received, a further assessment has been undertaken and it is recommended that the extent of proposals be reduced to cover just the immediate area at the junction.</p> <p>See Appendix 3 for recommended amendments to the proposals.</p> <p>For traffic calming to be introduced, first traffic speeds need to be captured and assessed to establish if physical calming measures are suitable. This can be raised with Trowbridge Town Council initially.</p>
SR 3	<p>The lack of traffic calming measures near the junctions of Seymour Road / Cana Road and Seymour Road / The Parklands have contributed to Seymour Road being treated like a racetrack.</p> <p>Parked cars on this section of the road serve to act as a traffic calming measure, without causing obstructions to the regular traffic using this road. Removal of parked cars would increase the risk of danger to people crossing the road, particularly as many people walk from Seymour Road and the Seymour Estate, and cross the road to access Lidl in this area.</p> <p>I would have no objection to these parking or waiting restrictions, should other traffic calming measures be introduced prior to the introduction of the restriction.</p>	1	See SR 2 above.

Silver Street Lane

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
SSLC 1	I can understand why this is being proposed but you are just pushing the school traffic ie parents parking to collect their children further onto the estates. Where there is already parking issues especially on Sycamore Grove where people double park.	1	The proposals on Silver Street Lane are to primarily to assist visibility of and from the Hazel Grove and Balmoral Road junctions. Vehicles are currently parking right up to the junction with Hazel Grove and have also occasionally parked across private drives on Silver Street Lane. Concerns also relate to the visibility of school children crossing Silver Street Lane at this point.

Staddlecote Place

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
SPS 1	<p>The parking of parents during school morning arrival times and afternoon pick up times are totally inconsiderate with cars and vans parking in a way preventing residents accessing or exiting their own parking areas with engines constantly running (causing pollution) and radios blaring during warmer weather when car windows are open.</p> <p>Our home faces out onto Wingfield Road and even though there are double yellow lines outside my house which continue either side of the entrance to Staddlecote Place parking during school times is also constant making exiting dangerous with visibility restricted. There is also concern for young children living in Staddlecote Place with cars turning at high speed before exiting.</p> <p>I sincerely hope the proposed restrictions go ahead but would be interested to know how they would be monitored and enforced once they are put in place.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support are noted. These proposals are as a result of such reports and requests.</p> <p>Enforcement will be carried out by Civil Enforcement Officers from Wiltshire Councils' Parking Services Team.</p>

Staddlecote Place cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
SPS 2	<p>The situation here has been a matter of growing concern for a considerable time for the following reasons;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The amount of cars/vans parked cheek by jowl in totally unsuitable places blocks the residents access often leading to angry words being exchanged and unnecessary confrontations. • The way that some vehicles speed into and within the congested area without taking into account or being able to see pedestrians, resident children, the elderly and visitors is an accident waiting to happen. • The parking on both sides of the entranceway leaves only a narrow passage and with the main road traffic also parking across the same portion of the highway there is a considerable risk in both leaving and entering and inevitably blocks through traffic from making normal progress. • The vehicles parked for what can be a considerable amount of time invariably leave their engines running whilst waiting, presumably in the winter to keep warm and in the summer to keep the air/con on leading to unwanted and worrying levels of pollution. <p>We are pleased that Wiltshire Council has been proactive in proposing a no waiting zone taking these concerns seriously and we hope that after the consultation period these regulations will apply and be enforced.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support are noted and see SPS 1 above regarding enforcement.</p> <p>These proposals are as a result of such reports and requests.</p>

Swallow Drive

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
SDS 1	<p>Support with comments</p> <p>I'd just like to say that I fully support the proposal for Swallow Drive, which I believe will go some way to alleviate the issues we face.</p> <p>The afternoon time could even be extended further to 3.45 or 4.00 since St Augustine's finishes at 3.30pm.</p> <p>Traffic caused by this school in Swallow Drive tends to be the worst offenders (explained perhaps by the wider catchment area of pupils, causing more parents to drive).</p>	1	<p>Comments of support noted.</p> <p>Unfortunately, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations to alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (ie: further restrictions, longer hours) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions.</p>
SDS 2	<p>Firstly, I would like to say how pleased we are that something is being done about the peak school hours issues we see each day. Clearly living opposite a school you expect some peak traffic times but in particular we have seen:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The road being blocked, an emergency vehicle would not always access our road. • We've been unable to get off our own drive due to inconsiderate parking. • Parking on the grass opposite our house, creating mud and muck on the road and driving over the roots of a council tree with a TPO on it. • Parking on double yellow lines in Nightingale Road, creating issues at the junction with the main road, although these are quite faded now. • Young people and staff members from schools parking in the road whilst at school but they have their own car parks. • Dangerous driving whilst children are using the walk/cycle route but walk in the middle of the road. We have seen a car parked up on the footpath near the bars which is not even a road! <p>Also how will it be policed? Is the ambition to have regular checks/cctv? How do we apply for resident parking permits and what type of lines and signs should we expect.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support noted.</p> <p>Ad hoc enforcement will be carried out by Civil Enforcement Officers from Wiltshire Councils' Parking Services Team.</p> <p>The proposed restriction would apply weekdays only between 8.30am – 9.30am and 2.30pm and 3.30pm, so you and your visitors will be able to park outside of these hours without the need for a residents' permit. In any case all properties have driveways so a resident's scheme wouldn't be applicable here, hence the daytime restrictions were proposed.</p> <p>Daytime restrictions require a single yellow line and upright signage displaying the time restrictions.</p>

Sycamore Grove

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
SG 1	<p>Proposals will impact business</p> <p>We understand the reason for including parking restrictions close to TLC could be influenced by the amount of traffic related to the local primary school. TLC does experience many difficulties during drop off and pick up times. We have learned to accept these difficulties work with them and adapt our appointment diaries to enable access during these times.</p>	1	<p>Proposals in Sycamore Grove will impact the business in a positive way, as the proposal retains the parking in the layby area in front of the business yet will remove those parking opposite. Vehicles parking in this way often make it difficult vehicles to access or pull out of the layby area.</p>
SG 2	<p>Proposals are unnecessary, the road is never blocked</p> <p>Cars do have to move slowly, but this is an advantage in a residential street where children live. Also, there are few other spaces to park, this would lead to people having to park a long way from their home, this is inconvenient for those with mobility difficulties. In addition, some of the properties are set in pedestrian cul-de-sacs, so have no driveway/other option to street parking.</p>	1	<p>The proposals were designed to prevent obstruction to established parking areas/in front of garages and to aid visibility round the bend. Some vehicles are parking half on/off the footway causing obstruction.</p> <p>In response to the representation received, a further assessment has been undertaken and it is recommended that the extent of proposals be reduced slightly to allow for some on-street parking where it does not cause obstruction.</p> <p>See Appendix 3 for recommended amendments to the proposals.</p>

Wiltshire Drive / Edington Drive

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
WDS 1	<p>With vehicles regularly parked opposite the junction and the junction itself being relatively poorly sighted from the Edington Drive exit to the right, it creates significant risk of collision. The no waiting zone would significantly reduce the risk by making Edington Drive easier to enter due to not having to wait behind parked vehicles.</p> <p>It will also reduce the incidence of vehicles travelling on the wrong side of the road across the junction in order to overtake parked cars.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p> <p>These proposals are as a result of such reports and requests.</p>
WDS 2	<p>The entrance to Edington Drive from Wiltshire Drive has become very dangerous as residents are parking opposite the junction.</p> <p>This junction is also after a blind corner with vehicles approaching at speed often. The junction is also very busy as it leads to a nursery as well as the housing estate.</p> <p>Double yellow lines will help improve the situation.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support noted. These proposals are as a result of such reports and requests.</p>

Wiltshire Drive / Edington Drive cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
WDS 3	<p>I and the residents of the Newlands Homes estate off Wiltshire Drive, are 100% supportive of the double yellow lines on Wiltshire Drive and Edington Drive. This is a problem area and a miracle there hasn't been a serious accident already.</p> <p>In addition, though we implore the restrictions to be extended further along Edington Drive to the nursery. There is regularly parking in contravention to the Highway Code along this road with cars blocking the footpath to the point my disabled mother has had to go on the road numerous times as the path is blocked. The mini crossroad where Edington Drive becomes Yarnbrook Gardens is also incredibly dangerous due to illegal parking. Please please please put restrictions here too!</p> <p>This junction is heavily used with cars and walkers going to and from the nursery with infants and very young children, coupled with slightly older children enjoying the estate and playing here. Someone will get seriously hurt and there has already been accidents involving the parked cars, we need restrictions in place further along this road than the current plan extends to.</p> <p>I have no further comments on these restrictions but am grateful the council is acting having raised this issue.</p>	1	<p>It is disappointing that the issues that have been raised were not considered at the planning stage, as we cannot at this stage alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (ie: further restrictions, longer hours) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions.</p> <p>Should the issue continue then a further request can be made to Trowbridge Council for further formal restrictions.</p>

Wingfield Road – St John’s Primary School

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
WR 1	<p>Proposals will push parking further into residential areas</p> <p>The proposals by St Johns Primary School are ill-thought out.</p> <p>There are 3 schools along this road - blocking parking in this manner will simply push the problem further along the same road and into the surrounding housing estates. It will also only exacerbate the problem as parents that currently drop their children off will have to park and walk them to the gate.</p>	3	<p>The ‘No Stopping’ restrictions appear on the highway as Zig-zag markings and are proposed to protect the immediate area outside school gates.</p> <p>After consideration of the objections and a review of the site, the proposal to extend SYL either side of the school keep clear markings will be removed from proposals.</p> <p>See Appendix 3 for recommended amendments to the proposals.</p>
WR 2	<p>Proposals will increase risk to children and parents not prevent them</p> <p>Many children go to St. Johns from further away out of catchment area as its a Catholic school. This is going to make it more dangerous and congested during school drop off and collection.</p> <p>If parking is to become a bigger problem than it is, my children who are Catholic will not be able to attend a Catholic school.</p>	4	See WR 1 above.
WR 3	<p>There are 3 schools along Wingfield Road yet no sufficient parking for parents taking and collecting their children safely from school.</p> <p>With these proposed works I believe it will cause many more parents to park illegally or in the Wingfield care home and GP surgery which is already a problem as this is for visitors only.</p> <p>Parents will just be forced to park illegally on this stretch of road and no changes will actually be made. It would also force more parents to park in more residential areas will only upset more residents.</p>	2	See WR 1 above.

Wingfield Road – St John’s Primary School cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
WR 4	We object to these proposals outside the school preventing parents dropping off or collecting their children and suggest a 20mph restricted speed limit is a better solution.	1	See WR 1 above. Regulations do not permit Wiltshire Council as Highway Authority to introduce 20mph speed restrictions on an A class road. Flashing amber lights to warn drivers of the presence of the school are already in place.
WR 5	I think that further restricting the amount of parking available will have a detrimental effect on all students. At present, there is a lot of parking already on yellow lines, with no tickets issued. Furthermore, many parents park dangerously making it very unsafe if pedestrians and other road users. Further limiting this will increase the amount of dangerous and inconsiderate parking. Perhaps a school day could be staggered as per covid times to allow a more even flow of traffic at the sites during school time?	1	See WR 1 above. The timings of the school day is a decision for the school alone.
WR 6	This is an objection for the proposed planning to prevent any stopping outside St. Johns school. This school has a large catchment area. For those not within walking distance this will cause significant problems when dropping off and picking up children. Not to mention for those with small children and babies an inconvenience.	2	See WR 1 above.

Wingfield Road – St John’s Primary School cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
WR 7	<p>I am writing to inform you that the idea of not stopping between 8am and 6pm is absolutely ridiculous!!</p> <p>For myself and many others who have children who go to the Primary School down Wingfield Road, how and WHERE are we supposed to park to do the school runs?! What about those who have children at Primary and Secondary who have to wait for one to finish?! What do you expect these Parents to do?!</p> <p>As a large Primary school community, reducing the parking along Wingfield Road would be absurd and will cause more problems than reducing it!</p>	1	See WR 1 above.
WR 8	<p>Has any consideration been given to the fact that nobody adheres to the existing parking restrictions, and this will no doubt worsen the situation in Millington Drive which is currently used as a rat run for turning and dropping off, and constantly used for parking.</p> <p>Also, the current restrictions in Millington Drive are out of date as school starting and finish times have changed. Times need to be increased, and on a general matter why change anything when the existing regulations are never enforced.</p>	1	Parking Services have been informed of the concerns raised in regard to lack of enforcement.

Wingfield Road – St John’s Primary School cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
WR 9	<p>As a Catholic school the families who have selected this school travel from a wider geographical area than non-faith schools. Has any consideration been given to parents and families as to where they should park during school pick up and drop off times?</p> <p>Are considerations been given to improve the safety and opportunity to cycle to school - currently there is no continuous offer of a cycle lane for families to use with their children. How many constituents requested a review of the parking and stopping in this area in order for this consultation to have taken place?</p> <p>What other road safety suggestions has the council considered on Wingfield Road? Speeding is a concern outside of the hours quoted and no changes are being made.</p>	1	<p>The original request came in from the school to protect the immediate area outside the school gates. Officers considered an extension to the current restrictions would help also, yet due to the level of representation and feedback, that element of the proposal will be withdrawn.</p> <p>See WR 1 above.</p> <p>See WR 4 concerning speed limit.</p> <p>See Appendix 3 for recommended amendments to the proposals.</p>
WR 10	<p>The proposals would leave parents dropping off and picking up children with the options of parking on a small portion of Wingfield Road and on the roads in the vicinity of Wingfield Road. These are already very congested due to lack of parking spaces. The school teaches 300 pupils, with parents driving from nearby towns and villages, eg Westbury, where a car is the only way to get the children to school.</p> <p>Maybe rather than making the spaces completely "No Waiting" they can be spaces for blue badge holders. Or maybe waiting time could be allowed for school pick up and drop off time, eg between 8-9am and between 3-5pm.</p>	1	See WR 1 above.
WR 11	<p>Whilst the addition of zigzags directly outside the school site will make exiting the site considerably safer and is welcomed, I have concerns that the additional 'no waiting' areas will cause problems.</p> <p>Those who normally park in this area (around 10 cars can be safely accommodated) will be forced to park elsewhere therefore just moving the problem onto another neighbouring road.</p>	1	See WR 1 above for the section of proposed No waiting on Wingfield Road.

Wingfield Road – St John’s Primary School cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
WR 12	<p>The school welcome the proposed no stopping on entrance markings Mon-Fri 8 – 6pm. Are these zig-zag markings? However, the red sectioned 'proposed no waiting Mon-Friday 8-6' is too long (estimated 10 cars length). Could this please be shortened to still allow for some parents to park whilst keeping a clear view of zig-zag lines?</p> <p>Your proposed changes to Nightingale Road will also have a considerable impact on parking for our parents.</p>	1	<p>Yes, this is the regulation that sits 'under' the School Keep Clear zig-zag markings.</p> <p>See WR 1 above for the section of proposed No waiting on Wingfield Road.</p> <p>See NR1 for proposals on Nightingale Road.</p>
WRS 1	<p>Fully supports proposal We have ourselves had two incidents down there. Once being when we tried to exit Widbrook surgery as the parents picking their children up park in the most stupid and dangerous position blocking the view of drivers also they park in the doctors surgery taking up spaces needed for patients and another time when they were parked and when trying to squeeze past in our car a lorry went past and we ended up hitting the kerb which wrecked a tyre.</p>	1	Comments of support are noted.
WRS 2	<p>Supports proposals, would them to go further into adjacent roads How you will ensure this doesn't then cause further congestion along Hungerford Avenue and its neighbours along with Berkeley Road.</p> <p>Being that it's already a nightmare at school times with inconsiderate parents dropping children here there and everywhere, at times not even being able to get to my home (same goes for many residence).</p> <p>In the 8 years we have lived here the schools seem to do nothing to take on the responsibility and surely there must be a better 'drop off' type facility, perhaps like in America where there is a drive through type system. It's utterly ridiculous.</p>	1	<p>Support and concerns noted.</p> <p>Unfortunately, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations to alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (ie: further restrictions, longer hours) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions.</p> <p>The school has an active School Travel Plan and this is something that could be considered in the future.</p>

Wingfield Road – opposite Avenue Road

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
WR 13	<p>The proposal seeks to further restrict parking for the only residents on the north side of Wingfield Rd who have not redeveloped their drives with hard standings. Because current restrictions are not enforced the extension does nothing aside from encourage parking on more dangerous parts of the road at busy periods.</p> <p>By reducing spaces available to residents in the proposed area without freeing up other spaces is punitive & will make those left even more in demand particularly towards the Entrance to St Augustines. This is dangerous as it will only encourage speeding making the situation worse. At the moment having a long cordon of vehicles acts as a buffer & ensures vehicles slow down.</p> <p>The real problem is the exit at St Augustines onto the Wingfield Rd, and this is because current restrictions are not enforced. This is a similar situation to Avenue Rd where double yellow line parking is also not enforced.</p>	2	<p>Wiltshire Council has no duty to provide parking for individuals; its statutory duty is to maintain the right of passage along the highway.</p> <p>The proposals seek to improve visibility and safety and only extend to where vehicles should not park anyway, within 10 metres of a junction. Residents have reported issues when exiting Avenue Road, due to vehicles parked opposite the junction on Wingfield Road.</p> <p>See WR 8 above about enforcement.</p>
WRS 3	<p>There is a high roof van permanently parked outside number 42 and it is very, very dangerous when pulling out of my house. There is no vision at all when I pull out (I have to guess and try and count the cars coming along).</p> <p>Cars and vans are permanently parked either side of my driveway. There have been several accidents at this precise location over the years (some fatal and reported in local paper). I am wholly in favour of yellow lines on this stretch of road, it is currently very dangerous.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p> <p>These proposals are as a result of such reports and requests.</p>

Wingfield Road – opposite Avenue Road cont...

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
WRS 4	<p>I support the decision for no parking and restrictions along Wingfield Road however my only concern is that this will increase the traffic and parking problems along Avenue Road, Westbourne Road and West Street and other roads in the vicinity.</p> <p>What measures will be put in place with regard to this as currently these roads are used as a car park for parents, staff and students and this decision will only exacerbate the problem.</p>	1	<p>Comments of support are noted.</p> <p>Unfortunately, it is not possible, within the Procedure Regulations to alter a proposed restriction to one of a greater severity (ie: further restrictions, longer hours) without recommencing the legal procedure by consulting and re-advertising the restrictions.</p> <p>See WR 8 above about enforcement.</p>

General comments

Ref	Comment received	No. of times received	Officer Comment
GC 1	<p>General comments on the proposals</p> <p>The proposal to introduce parking restrictions in many of the residential areas of Trowbridge will impact significantly on the residents who live in the affected streets reducing their quality of life. I do not understand why some areas are included in resident parking schemes and many others are excluded - this seems both arbitrary and unfair.</p>	1	<p>Comments noted. The proposals across the town were developed following reports and requests from residents and others, supported by the Town Council.</p> <p>Areas that have proposals for residents parking have been specifically requested and have been able to demonstrate a majority support for such scheme, prior to these proposals being advertised.</p>